



UCID- 20539

POSSOL Poisson Equation Solver

William J. Orvis

August 1985

**Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory**

This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the Laboratory.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Printed in the United States of America
Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$; Microfilm \$4.50

Page Range	Domestic Price	Page Range	Domestic Price
001-025	\$ 7.00	326-350	\$ 26.50
026-050	8.50	351-375	28.00
051-075	10.00	376-400	29.50
076-100	11.50	401-426	31.00
101-125	13.00	427-450	32.50
126-150	14.50	451-475	34.00
151-175	16.00	476-500	35.50
176-200	17.50	501-525	37.00
201-225	19.00	526-550	38.50
226-250	20.50	551-575	40.00
251-275	22.00	576-600	41.50
276-300	23.50	601-up ¹	
301-325	25.00		

¹Add 1.50 for each additional 25 page increment, or portion thereof from 601 pages up.

POSSOL Poisson Equation Solver

by

William J. Orvis

ABSTRACT

POSSOL is a two-dimensional Poisson solver for problems with arbitrary non-uniform gridding in cartesian coordinates. Actually, it will solve the Helmholtz equation on an arbitrary, non-uniform grid on a rectangular domain with unmixed boundary conditions. The routine is similar to PWSCRT developed by Schwarzauber and Sweet at the National Center for Atmospheric Research. The routine is also amenable to the capacitance matrix technique which can be used to solve problems with mixed boundary conditions.

INTRODUCTION

In 1975, Schwarzauber and Sweet at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) wrote a set of FORTRAN subroutines for solving the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions¹. These routines all use the Buneman variant of cyclic reduction to solve the standard, five point difference approximation in several different coordinate systems:

<u>Routine</u>	<u>Coordinate system</u>
PWSCRT	Cartesian
PWSPLR	Polar
PWSCYL	Cylindrical
PWSCSP	Spherical with axisymmetry
PWSSSP	Spherical on the unit sphere

All of these routines require a uniform mesh and unmixed boundary conditions. For my semiconductor device modeling work, I needed to solve the Poisson equation on a non-uniform mesh in cartesian coordinates. As a result, I have created the subroutine

POSSOL which is similar to PWSCRT, but allows a non-uniform mesh. I also needed to be able to have mixed boundary conditions on any side of the problem, but the routines listed above (including POSSOL) only allow one type of boundary condition on any one side. In order to handle more than one type of boundary condition on a side, I developed a form of the capacitance matrix technique around POSSOL.

METHOD

POSSOL

The five routines mentioned above solve the Helmholtz equation in two dimensions.

$$\nabla^2 U + \lambda U = f \quad (1)$$

using a five point difference approximation. Four of the five subroutines (PWSCRT, PWSPLR, PWSCYL and PWSSSP) solve this approximation with the routine POIS, which solves the following linear system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned} A(i)*U(i-1,j) + B(i)*U(i,j) + C(i)*U(i+1,j) + \\ U(i,j-1) - 2.*U(i,j) + U(i,j+1) = f(i,j). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where A, B and C are constant coefficient arrays.

The other routine (PWSCSP) uses the routine BLKTRI which solves the following slightly different set of linear equations,

$$\begin{aligned} AN(j)*U(i,j-1) + AM(i)*U(i-1,j) + (BN(j) + BM(i)) * U(i,j) + \\ CN(j)*U(i,j+1) + CM(i)*U(i+1,j) = f(i,j). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where AN, AM, BN, BM, CN and CM are constant, coefficient arrays. In both cases, i ranges from 1 to m+1 and j ranges from 1 to n+1, where m and n are the number of panels in the x and y directions (i.e. there are m+1 and n+1 grid points in the x and y directions respectively).

The routine PWSCRT uses the routine POIS to solve the Helmholtz equation in cartesian coordinates on a uniform mesh. The x coordinate ranges from A to B and the y coordinate ranges from C to D. The Helmholtz equation in two-dimensional cartesian coordinates is,

$$\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} + \lambda U = f \quad (4)$$

This equation is discretized on the uniform mesh:

$$\Delta x = (B - A)/m$$

$$\Delta y = (D - C)/n$$

as,

$$(1/\Delta x) * (U(i+1,j) - 2*U(i,j) + U(i-1,j)) + (1/\Delta y) * (U(i,j+1) - 2*U(i,j) + U(i,j-1)) + \lambda * U(i,j) = F(i,j) \quad (5)$$

These equations can easily be put into the form required by POIS (equation 2 above) by multiplying through with Δy .

However, for my problem, Δx and Δy are functions of x and y respectively, which results in a much more complicated discrete equation. The non-uniform mesh is defined with:

$$\Delta x^+ = x(i+1) - x(i)$$

$$\Delta x^- = x(i) - x(i-1)$$

$$\Delta x = (\Delta x^+ + \Delta x^-)/2$$

$$\Delta y^+ = y(j+1) - y(j)$$

$$\Delta y^- = y(j) - y(j-1)$$

$$\Delta y = (\Delta y^+ + \Delta y^-)/2$$

and the discrete equation is:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left[\frac{U(i+1,j) - U(i,j)}{\Delta x^+} - \frac{U(i,j) - U(i-1,j)}{\Delta x^-} \right] + \frac{1}{\Delta y} \left[\frac{U(i,j+1) - U(i,j)}{\Delta y^+} - \frac{U(i,j) - U(i,j-1)}{\Delta y^-} \right] + \lambda * U(i,j) = f(i,j) \quad (6)$$

Now, this equation can not be put into a form that POIS can use, but is already in a form that can be solved by BLKTRI. The constants in equation 3 are defined with,

$$AM(i) = 1/(\Delta x^+ * \Delta x)$$

$$BM(i) = -2/(\Delta x^+ * \Delta x^-) + \lambda$$

$$CM(i) = 1/(\Delta x^+ * \Delta x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
AN(j) &= 1/(\Delta y^+ * \Delta y^-) \\
BN(j) &= -2/(\Delta y^+ * \Delta y^-) \\
CN(j) &= 1/(\Delta y^+ * \Delta y^-)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Note that λ could have been added to BM or BN with equal results.

Now, the boundary conditions need to be added by modifying the right or left sides of those equations, defined by equation 3, that involve grid points along the boundaries. This must be done because BLKTRI is only an equation solver, and does not automatically insert boundary conditions. There are three possible types of boundary conditions that can be used with this routine: fixed, derivative or periodic. For example, consider the one-dimensional equation with $U(i)=U_0$ for $i = 0$. In this problem, the equation at $i = 1$ is:

$$AN(1)*U_0 + BN(1)*U(1) + CN(1)*U(2) = F(1) \tag{8}$$

which we reorganize to:

$$BN(1)*U(1) + CN(1)*U(2) = F(1) - AN(1)*U_0 \tag{9}$$

We then redefine $AN(1)$ and $F(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1) &= F(1) - AN(1)*U_0 \\
AN(1) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

before calling BLKTRI. Note that they must be redefined in the order shown above.

For derivative boundary conditions, at $i = 1$, of the form:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = BDA \tag{11}$$

where BDA is the value of the derivative. We first finite difference equation (11) at the point $i = 1$,

$$(U(2) - U(0))/(2*\Delta x) = BDA \tag{12}$$

Next, we solve it for $U(0)$ and insert it into the problem equation (a one-dimensional version of equation 3) at $i = 1$,

$$AN(1)*(U(2)-2*\Delta x*BDA) + BN(1)*U(1) + CN(1)*U(2) = F(1) \tag{13}$$

Equation 13 is then reorganized into,

$$BN(1)*U(1) + (AN(1)+CN(1))*U(2) = F(1) + 2*\Delta x*BDA*AN(1) \quad (14)$$

and, as before, we then redefine the terms CN(1), F(1) , and AN(1) (in that order):

$$\begin{aligned} CN(1) &= CN(1) + AN(1) \\ F(1) &= F(1) + 2* \Delta x*BDA*AN(1) \\ AN(1) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

before calling BLKTRI.

Finally, for periodic boundary conditions, we assume that $U(m) = U(0)$, $U(m+1) = U(1)$ etc. , in which case, AN, BN and CN take on their normal values (equation 7).

For the two-dimensional problems solved by POSSOL, the methods described above are applied to all of the grid points on the four boundaries. In which case, U_0 and BDA become arrays, with one value for each grid point along the boundary $i = 0$. Six other arrays are defined to hold the values along the other three boundaries.

There is one more situation that must be considered for a general purpose equation solver, and that is the case where λ is zero and the boundary conditions are all either derivative or periodic. In this case a solution may not exist, and if one does, it is not unique (i.e. if U is a solution, $U + U_0$ is also a solution for any constant U_0). If a solution does exist, then the calling program must determine what constant, if any, must be added to the solution. As to the existence of a solution, the following paragraphs will explain how POSSOL treats that problem.

Consider the matrix equation that represents the discrete form of some equation being solved with POSSOL:

$$AU = f \quad (16)$$

where A is a matrix and U and f are vectors. While the original equation from which this set of discrete equations was formed may have had a solution, the process of finite differencing it, plus round-off error may have resulted in a set of equations with no possible solution. In their report, Swarztrauber and Sweet describe a way to correct this situation. They show that equation (16) has a solution if and only if,

$$h^t f = 0 \quad (17)$$

for all h defined by,

$$A^t h = 0 \quad (18)$$

Using (17) and (18), we determine a perturbation to f in equation (16) that will insure that it has a solution,

$$p = (h^t f / h^t e) e \quad (19)$$

where e is the unit vector $(1,1,1\dots)$.

We then create a new right hand side for equation 16,

$$g = f - p \quad (20)$$

where g is the new right hand side. Note that as long as the perturbation on f is small compared to f , the resulting solution from POSSOL will be correct. If the perturbation is large, then you will end up solving a completely different problem. This usually indicates that your original equation does not have a solution or that you have made an error while inputting it. Therefore, the value of the perturbation (p) should be checked after control is returned from POSSOL and compared with the values in the vector f . If p is large compared to f then the calling program should take appropriate action.

For a problem solved with POSSOL, h can be broken into an x part and a y part as,

$$h = h_x^t h_y \quad (21)$$

Then, for either of these two components of h there are two possible combinations of boundary conditions: derivatives specified at both ends, or periodic. For derivative boundary conditions, the value of a component of h_x (or h_y) can be determined from equation 18 to be ,

$$h_x(k) = (h_x(1) / \Delta x_1) (\Delta x_{k-1} + \Delta x_k) \quad (22)$$

where,

$$\Delta x_k = x(k+1) - x(k)$$

the argument of h_x refers to the grid point and $h_x(1)$ is arbitrary. This equation can be simplified by letting $h_x(1)$ equal Δx_1 and then inserting for Δx_{k-1} and Δx_k to give,

$$\begin{aligned} h_x(1) &= x(2) - x(1) \\ h_x(kmax) &= x(kmax) - x(kmax-1) \\ h_x(k) &= x(k+1) - x(k-1) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where 1 and kmax are the grid points at the boundaries.

The second case has periodic boundary conditions, which gives a slightly different value for h_x . The general expression is,

$$h_x(k) = (h_x(kmax) / (\Delta x_{kmax-1} + \Delta x_{kmax})) (\Delta x_{k-1} + \Delta x_k) \quad (24)$$

which can be reduced to,

$$\begin{aligned} h_x(1) &= x(2) - x(1) + x(kmax) - x(kmax-1) \\ h_x(kmax) &= h(1) \\ h_x(k) &= x(k+1) - x(k-1) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The equations for y follow in exactly the same manner, with the final equation for h following from equation (21),

$$h_{i,j} = h_x(i) h_y(j) \quad (26)$$

Appendix 1 contains the POSSOL interface and subroutine that prepares the problem for solution with BLKTRI.

TESTING

Appendix 2 contains an example problem used to test POSSOL. First, a non-linear grid is mapped onto a rectangular domain. Then, the equation:

$$U = 0.5 + \sin(2\pi x/xlen) * \sin(2\pi y/ylen) \quad (27)$$

where $xlen$ and $ylen$ are the length and width of the problem, is inserted into equation 1 and a right hand side (f) is calculated at every point on the grid. This problem was then solved with POSSOL and the result compared with equation 27. The example shown in appendix 2 has fixed boundary conditions along the x boundaries and

derivative boundary conditions along the y boundaries. Several variations of this program were run to test various combinations of fixed, derivative and periodic boundary conditions, and the results were all nearly identical. The only cases where the results were not identical to equation 27 was where there were derivative and/or periodic boundary conditions on all sides, resulting in a problem without a unique solution. The solution returned by POSSOL was centered on zero rather than raised above zero by 0.5 as specified by equation 27. This is not an unexpected result, since any constant may be added to the equation, for this case, and still be a solution.

ACCURACY AND TIMING

The errors encountered in the test problems described above had maximum values of about 0.1 % of the analytic solution. According to Schwarztrauber and Sweet, their equation solvers will all give much better accuracy ($10^{-8}\%$ on a CDC 7600) for a problem where the derivatives are calculated with the same finite difference equations as are used by the solver rather than using analytic derivatives to calculate the right hand side of the equation. The difference is probably due to errors induced by discretizing the analytic equations.

Timing for POSSOL was not measured, however, it should be similar to that for the routine PWSCSP. Therefore, I have listed the accuracy and timing data for that routine (Table 1). This data is generated by defining random data on an $m+1$ by $n+1$ grid; inserting that into a finite differenced form of equation 1 to calculate the right hand side and then solving it with PWSCSP to get the original data back.¹

TABLE 1 Accuracy and timing for PWSCSP¹

m	n	Execution Time (msec)	Max. Absolute Difference
32	32	61	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-12}$
64	64	284	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-11}$
128	128	1341	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$

AVAILABILITY

POSSOL will be available here at LLNL in MSSL (Math and Statistics Software Library). BLKTRI is available from the National Center For Atmospheric Research, Box 3000, Boulder, Colorado. It is also available here in MSSL.

CAPACITANCE MATRIX

The capacitance matrix method is a technique for separating a matrix problem into two parts, which can then be solved separately and then recombined in a manner that gives the correct solution to the original matrix.^{2,3} It can be used to allow problems with a mixture of fixed and derivative boundary conditions on an edge to be solved with a solver that only allows one type of boundary condition along an edge. I used it here to separate the discrete form of the Poisson equation with mixed boundary conditions into a part with unmixed boundary conditions that can be solved with POSSOL, and a small sub-matrix that can be solved with a simple matrix solver. These two matrix solutions are then recombined to give the solution to the original problem.

Consider the following matrix equation,

$$Au = f \quad (28)$$

where A is a square m by m matrix and u and f are vectors of length m . Assume now that A consists of two parts: A_2 that is compatible with our matrix solver; and a small part A_1 that is not. We define A as,

$$A = [A_1 | A_2] \quad (29)$$

Next we define a matrix B that is solvable with our matrix solver,

$$B = [B_1 | A_2] \quad (30)$$

Note that B consists of the solveable part of A plus another part that has been adjusted to make the whole matrix equation solvable with the matrix solver. What this amounts to with the Poisson equation is taking a side of the problem that is part fixed and part derivative boundary conditions, and rewriting the boundary condition equations to

make the whole side derivative (or fixed) boundary conditions. For example, A_1 could consist of those boundary condition equations that describe the portion of the boundary with fixed boundary conditions, and A_2 would consist of the rest of the problem. B_1 would then be a new set of boundary condition equations describing the same boundary as A_1 but with derivative boundary conditions instead of fixed ones.

Using B , we define a new solution vector \hat{u} ,

$$B\hat{u} = f \quad (31)$$

and a new matrix C (the capacitance matrix),

$$C = [A_1|0] B^{-1} [W_1|0] \quad (32)$$

where W_1 is the identity matrix for A_1 . Note that the non-zero part of C (C_1) is generally much smaller than A . We define β with the equation,

$$C_1\beta = f_1 - A_1\hat{u} \quad (33)$$

where f_1 is that part of f that goes with A_1 , and then solve

$$Bu = f + [W_1|0]\beta \quad (34)$$

to get u , the solution of the original equation.

ACCURACY AND TESTING

Appendix 3 contains an example of an implementation of this method with POSSOL as the main matrix solver. The problem solved is the same as that solved in appendix 2, but with a mixture of derivative and fixed boundary conditions along one side. This problem also requires the general matrix equation solver routine combination DEC/SOL to solve the small capacitance matrix equation (33). DEC does an L/U decomposition of the matrix and SOL back substitutes to get the final solution. The accuracy in this example problem was similar to that for POSSOL alone.

For a single solution, this method should take a little more than twice the amount of time as POSSOL to solve a problem, since it requires two solutions with POSSOL plus a solution of a small matrix with DEC/SOL. For problems that solve Poissons equation

several times on a fixed grid, the first POSSOL solution and the L/U decomposition of the matrix C can be done once and the results saved and reused for each additional solution of the problem. If a large number of solutions are performed, this should approximately cut the time per solution in half.

REFERENCES

1. P. Schwarztrauber, R. Sweet, *Efficient FORTRAN Subprograms for the Solution of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, NCAR/TN-109-IA, National Center For Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, July, (1975).
2. Private conversation with Dick Hickman Oct (1982).
3. N. K. Madsen, *An Application of a Capacitance Matrix Technique to Expand the Usefulness of a PDE Software Package*, Technical Memorandum No. 77-3, Numerical Mathematics Group, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, Feb., (1977).

APPENDIX 1

```

1      subroutine possol (intl,x,a,abdcnd,bda,bdb,y,n,nbdcnd,bdc,
2      1                      bdd,e1abdb,f,ldiaf,partrb,terror,e)
3 c
4 c *****
5 c *
6 c *      subroutine possol
7 c *
8 c *      william J. Davis   3/12/85
9 c *      Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
10 c *      Livermore, California
11 c *
12 c *      poisson equation solver with a non-uniform grid.
13 c *      this routine is an adaptation of the routine pscrt by:
14 c *      p. schwarztrauber and r. seest of ncar. it differs from that routine
15 c *      in that it allows a non-uniform grid to be used rather than a
16 c *      uniform grid. the routine biktri from ncar is required.
17 c *      p. schwarztrauber, r. seest, "efficient fortran subprograms for the
18 c *      solution of elliptic partial differential equations," ncar/tn-189+1a,
19 c *      national center for atmospheric research, boulder, co, july, (1975).
20 c *      if you have a uniform grid, pscrt will be faster.
21 c *****
22 c
23 c
24 c
25 c
26 c
27 c      subroutine possol solves the standard five-point finite
28 c      difference approximation to the helmholtz equation in cartesian
29 c      coordinates:
30 c
31 c       $(d/dx)(d/dx)u + (d/dy)(d/dy)u + \text{lambda}^*u = f(x,y).$ 
32 c
33 c      the arguments are defined as:
34 c
35 c      *****      on input      *****
36 c
37 c      intl
38 c      =0 initial call, quantities that depend on nbdcnd and y are
39 c      calculated and stored in e before doing a solution.
40 c      =1 after the initial call, as long as nbdcnd and y dont change
41 c      between steps. this is about 50% faster than with intl=0.
42 c
43 c      x
44 c      an m+1 dimensional array containing the x grid
45 c      x(m+1) must be greater than x(1) the boundaries of the grid are:
46 c      a=x(1) to b=x(m+1).
47 c
48 c      m
49 c      the number of panels into which the interval (a,b) is
50 c      subdivided. hence, there will be m+1 grid points in the
51 c      x-direction given by x(i) for i = 1,2,...,m+1,
52 c      m must be greater than 4.
53 c
54 c      abdcnd
55 c      indicates the type of boundary conditions at x = a and x = b.

```



```

56 c
57 c      = 0  if the solution is periodic in x, i.e.,  $u(1,j) = u(m+1,j)$ .
58 c      = 1  if the solution is specified at  $x = a$  and  $x = b$ .
59 c      = 2  if the solution is specified at  $x = a$  and the derivative of
60 c            the solution with respect to  $x$  is specified at  $x = b$ .
61 c      = 3  if the derivative of the solution with respect to  $x$  is
62 c            specified at  $x = a$  and  $x = b$ .
63 c      = 4  if the derivative of the solution with respect to  $x$  is
64 c            specified at  $x = a$  and the solution is specified at  $x = b$ .
65 c
66 c      bda
67 c          a one-dimensional array of length  $n+1$  that specifies the values
68 c            of the derivative of the solution with respect to  $x$  at  $x = a$ .
69 c            when  $mbdcnd = 3$  or  $4$ ,
70 c
71 c               $bda(j) = (d/dx)u(a,y(j))$ ,  $j = 1,2,\dots,n+1$  .
72 c
73 c            when  $mbdcnd$  has any other value,  $bda$  is a dummy variable.
74 c
75 c      bdb
76 c          a one-dimensional array of length  $n+1$  that specifies the values
77 c            of the derivative of the solution with respect to  $x$  at  $x = b$ .
78 c            when  $mbdcnd = 2$  or  $3$ ,
79 c
80 c               $bdb(j) = (d/dx)u(b,y(j))$ ,  $j = 1,2,\dots,n+1$  .
81 c
82 c            when  $mbdcnd$  has any other value  $bdb$  is a dummy variable.
83 c
84 c      y
85 c          an  $n+1$  dimensional array containing the  $y$  grid,
86 c           $y(n+1)$  must be greater than  $y(1)$  the boundaries of the grid are:
87 c           $c=y(1)$  to  $d=y(n+1)$ .
88 c
89 c      n
90 c          the number of panels into which the interval  $(c,d)$  is
91 c            subdivided. hence, there will be  $n+1$  grid points in the
92 c             $y$ -direction given by  $y(j)$  for  $j = 1,2,\dots,n+1$ 
93 c            if  $nbdcnd = 0$  then  $n$  must be equal to  $2**k$ .
94 c            if  $nbdcnd = 1$  then  $n$  must be equal to  $2**k$ .
95 c            if  $nbdcnd = 2$  then  $n$  must be equal to  $2**k-1$ .
96 c            if  $nbdcnd = 3$  then  $n$  must be equal to  $2**k-2$ .
97 c            if  $nbdcnd = 4$  then  $n$  must be equal to  $2**k-1$ .
98 c            the operational count of the solver is  $m \log_2 n$  so make  $n \leq m$  for the
99 c            best efficiency.
100 c
101 c      nbdcnd
102 c          indicates the type of boundary conditions at  $y = c$  and  $y = d$ .
103 c
104 c      = 0  if the solution is periodic in  $y$ , i.e.,  $u(1,1) = u(1,n+1)$ .
105 c      = 1  if the solution is specified at  $y = c$  and  $y = d$ .
106 c      = 2  if the solution is specified at  $y = c$  and the derivative of
107 c            the solution with respect to  $y$  is specified at  $y = d$ .
108 c      = 3  if the derivative of the solution with respect to  $y$  is
109 c            specified at  $y = c$  and  $y = d$ .
110 c      = 4  if the derivative of the solution with respect to  $y$  is
111 c            specified at  $y = c$  and the solution is specified at  $y = d$ .
112 c
113 c      bdc

```

```

114 c      a one-dimensional array of length m+1 that specifies the values
115 c      of the derivative of the solution with respect to y at y = c.
116 c      when nbdend = 3 or 4,
117 c
118 c          bdc(i) = (d/dy)u(x(i),c), i = 1,2,...,m+1 .
119 c
120 c      when nbdend has any other value, bdc is a dummy variable.
121 c
122 c      bdd
123 c      a one-dimensional array of length m+1 that specifies the values
124 c      of the derivative of the solution with respect to y at y = d.
125 c      when nbdend = 2 or 3,
126 c
127 c          bdd(i) = (d/dy)u(x(i),d), i = 1,2,...,m+1 .
128 c
129 c      when nbdend has any other value, bdd is a dummy variable.
130 c
131 c      elambda
132 c      the constant lambda in the helmholtz equation. if
133 c      lambda .gt. 0, a solution may not exist. however, possol will
134 c      attempt to find a solution.
135 c
136 c      f
137 c      a two-dimensional array which specifies the values of the right
138 c      side of the helmholtz equation and boundary values (if any).
139 c      for i = 2,3,...,m and j = 2,3,...,n
140 c
141 c          f(i,j) = f(x(i),y(j)).
142 c
143 c      on the boundaries f is defined by
144 c
145 c          

| nbdend | f(1,j)    | f(m+1,j)  |                 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 0      | f(a,y(j)) | f(a,y(j)) |                 |
| 1      | u(a,y(j)) | u(b,y(j)) |                 |
| 2      | u(a,y(j)) | f(b,y(j)) | j = 1,2,...,n+1 |
| 3      | f(a,y(j)) | f(b,y(j)) |                 |
| 4      | f(a,y(j)) | u(b,y(j)) |                 |


157 c
158 c          

| nbdend | f(i,1)    | f(i,n+1)  |                 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| 0      | f(x(i),c) | f(x(i),c) |                 |
| 1      | u(x(i),c) | u(x(i),d) |                 |
| 2      | u(x(i),c) | f(x(i),d) | i = 1,2,...,m+1 |
| 3      | f(x(i),c) | f(x(i),d) |                 |
| 4      | f(x(i),c) | u(x(i),d) |                 |


168 c
169 c      f must be dimensioned at least (m+1)*(n+1).
170 c
171 c      note
172 c
173 c      if the table calls for both the solution u and the right side f
174 c      at a corner then the solution must be specified.
175 c
176 c      idiaf

```

```

172 c      the row (or first) dimension of the array f as it appears in the
173 c      program calling pscrt.  this parameter is used to specify the
174 c      variable dimension of f.  idimf must be at least m+1 .
175 c
176 c      *
177 c      a one-dimensional array that must be provided by the user for
178 c      work space.  the length of w must be at least:
179 c      if nbdend=0 2nlog2(n)+n+2+max(4n,6m)+3(n+1)+3*(m+1)
180 c      if nbdend>0 2(n+1)(log2(n+1)-1)+2+max(2n,6m)+3(n+1)+3*(m+1)
181 c
182 c
183 c      * * * * *      on output      * * * * *
184 c
185 c      f
186 c      contains the solution u(i,j) of the finite difference
187 c      approximation for the grid point (x(i),y(j)), i = 1,2,...,m+1,
188 c      j = 1,2,...,n+1 .
189 c
190 c      pertrb
191 c      if a combination of periodic or derivative boundary conditions
192 c      is specified for a poisson equation (lambda = 0), a solution may
193 c      not exist.  pertrb is a constant, calculated and subtracted from
194 c      f, which ensures that a solution exists.  pscrt then computes
195 c      this solution, which is a least squares solution to the original
196 c      approximation.  this solution is not unique and is unnormalized.
197 c      the value of pertrb should be small compared to the right side
198 c      f. otherwise , a solution is obtained to an essentially
199 c      different problem.  this comparison should always be made to
200 c      insure that a meaningful solution has been obtained.
201 c
202 c      ierror
203 c      an error flag that indicates invalid input parameters.  except
204 c      for numbers 0 and 6, a solution is not attempted.
205 c
206 c      = 0  no error.
207 c      = 1  a .ge. b.
208 c      = 2  mbdend .lt. 0 or mbdend .gt. 4 .
209 c      = 3  c .ge. d.
210 c      = 5  nbdend .lt. 0 or nbdend .gt. 4 .
211 c      = 6  lambda .gt. 0 .
212 c      = 7  idimf .lt. m+1 .
213 c      = 8 or 14  m .lt. 5 (from blktri)
214 c      = 9 or 15  n not in proper form for nbdend = 1,2,3,4 (from blktri)
215 c      = 10 or 16 n not in proper form for nbdend = 0 (from blktri)
216 c      = 11 or 17 blktri failed while computing results that depend on the
217 c      coefficient arrays a(an1),a(bn1),a(cn1) check these arrays.
218 c      = 12 or 18 idimf .lt. m+nk (from blktri)
219 c      > 13 error is error 6 plus errors 8 to 12.
220 c
221 c      since this is the only means of indicating a possibly incorrect
222 c      call to possol, the user should test ierror after the call.
223 c
224 c      *
225 c      contains intermediate values that must not be destroyed if
226 c      pscrt will be called again with intl = 1 .
227 c
228 c
229 c      dimension      f(idimf,1)

```

```

230      dimension      bda(1)      ,bdb(1)      ,bdc(1)      ,bdd(1)      ,
231      1              u(1), x(1), y(1)
232      integer an1,bn1,cn1,an,bn,ca1,ca1,wi
233 c
234 c      check for invalid parameters.
235 c
236      partrb=0
237      ierror = 0
238      if (x(1).ge.x(n+1)) ierror = 1
239      if (sbdend.lt.0 .or. sbdend.gt.4) ierror = 2
240      if (y(1).ge.y(n+1)) ierror = 3
241      if (nbdend.lt.0 .or. nbdend.gt.4) ierror = 5
242      if (ldiaf .lt. n+1) ierror = 7
243      if (ierror .ne. 0) return
244 c
245      np1 = n+1
246      ap1 = n+1
247 c
248 c      set indicies into the w array for an,bn,cn,an,bn,ca and w for blktri
249 c      these are indicies to the first element of the arrays.
250      an1=1
251      bn1=an1+np1
252      cn1=bn1+np1
253      an1=cn1+np1
254      bn1=an1+ap1
255      cn1=bn1+ap1
256      wi=cn1+ap1
257 c
258      np = nbdend+1
259      ap = sbdend+1
260 c
261 c      set start and stop limits for y
262      goto (100,101,102,103,104),np
263 100  nstart=1
264      nstop=n
265      npp=0
266      goto 105
267 101  nstart=2
268      nstop=n
269      npp=1
270      goto 105
271 102  nstart=2
272      nstop=np1
273      npp=1
274      goto 105
275 103  nstart=1
276      nstop=np1
277      npp=1
278      goto 105
279 104  nstart=1
280      nstop=n
281      npp=1
282 105  nunk=nstop-nstart+1
283 c
284 c      set start and stop limits for x
285      goto (110,111,112,113,114),ap
286 110  mstart=1
287      mstop=m

```

```

288      app=8
289      goto 115
290 111  nstart=2
291      nstop=n
292      app=1
293      goto 115
294 112  nstart=2
295      nstop=npl
296      app=1
297      goto 115
298 113  nstart=1
299      nstop=npl
300      app=1
301      goto 115
302 114  nstart=1
303      nstop=n
304      app=1
305 115  nunk=nstop-nstart+1
306 c
307 c fill am,bm,cm
308      do 128 i=2,n
309          deltax=x(i+1)-x(i)
310          deltam=x(i)-x(i-1)
311          deltax=0.5*(deltax+deltam)
312          u(am1+i-1)=1./(deltax*deltam)
313          u(bm1+i-1)=-2./(deltax*deltam)+elmbda
314          u(cm1+i-1)=1./(deltax*deltax)
315 128  continue
316 c
317 c do boundaries at a
318      goto (130,131,131,133,133),np
319 c periodic
320 130  deltax=x(2)-x(1)
321          deltam=x(npl)-x(n)
322          deltax=0.5*(deltax+deltam)
323          u(am1)=1./(deltax*deltam)
324          u(bm1)=-2./(deltax*deltam)+elmbda
325          u(cm1)=1./(deltax*deltax)
326      goto 135
327 c specified
328 131  do 132 j=nstart,nstop
329 132  f(2,j)=f(2,j)-f(1,j)*u(am1+1)
330          u(am1+1)=0
331      goto 135
332 c derivative
333 133  deltax=x(2)-x(1)
334          deltam=deltax
335          deltax=deltax
336          u(am1)=0
337          u(bm1)=-2./(deltax*deltam)+elmbda
338          u(cm1)=2./(deltax*deltax)
339      do 134 j=nstart,nstop
340 134  f(1,j)=f(1,j)+bda(j)*deltax*u(cm1)
341 135  continue
342 c
343 c do boundaries at b
344      goto (145,141,143,143,141),np
345 c specified

```

```

346 141 do 142 j=nsstart,nstop
347 142 f(a,j)=f(a,j)-f(ap1,j)*e(cn1+n-1)
348      e(cn1+n-1)=0
349      goto 145
350 c derivative
351 143 deltax=x(ap1)-x(a)
352      deltaxp=deltax
353      deltax=deltax
354      e(cn1+n)=2./(deltax*deltax)
355      e(bn1+n)=-2./(deltaxp*deltax)+elabdo
356      e(cn1+n)=0
357      do 144 j=nsstart,nstop
358 144 f(ap1,j)=f(ap1,j)-bdb(j)*deltax*e(cn1+n)
359 145 continue
360 c
361 c fill an,bn,cn
362      do 158 j=2,n
363          deltaxp=y(j+1)-y(j)
364          deltax=y(j)-y(j-1)
365          deltax=0.5*(deltaxp+deltax)
366          e(cn1+j-1)=1./(deltax*deltax)
367          e(bn1+j-1)=-2./(deltaxp*deltax)
368          e(cn1+j-1)=1./(deltax*deltaxp)
369 158 continue
370 c
371 c do boundaries at c
372      goto (160,161,161,163,163),np
373 c periodic
374 160 deltaxp=y(2)-y(1)
375      deltax=y(np1)-y(n)
376      deltax=0.5*(deltaxp+deltax)
377      e(cn1)=1./(deltax*deltax)
378      e(bn1)=-2./(deltaxp*deltax)
379      e(cn1)=1./(deltax*deltaxp)
380      goto 165
381 c specified
382 161 do 162 i=nsstart,estop
383 162 f(i,2)=f(i,2)-f(i,1)*e(cn1+1)
384      e(cn1+1)=0
385      goto 165
386 c derivative
387 163 deltaxp=y(2)-y(1)
388      deltax=deltaxp
389      deltax=deltaxp
390      e(cn1)=0
391      e(bn1)=-2./(deltaxp*deltax)
392      e(cn1)=2./(deltax*deltaxp)
393      do 164 i=nsstart,estop
394 164 f(i,1)=f(i,1)+bdb(i)*deltaxp*e(cn1)
395 165 continue
396 c
397 c do boundaries at d
398      goto (175,171,173,173,171),np
399 c specified
400 171 do 172 i=nsstart,estop
401 172 f(i,n)=f(i,n)-f(i,np1)*e(cn1+n-1)
402      e(cn1+n-1)=0
403      goto 175

```

```

404 c derivative
405 173 deltax=y(np1)-y(n)
406     deltaxp=deltax
407     deltax=deltax
408     a(an1+n)=2./(deltax*deltax)
409     b(bn1+n)=-2./(deltaxp*deltax)
410     c(cn1+n)=0
411     do 174 i=astart,astop
412 174 f(i,np1)=f(i,np1)-bdc(i)*deltaxp*a(an1+n)
413 175 continue
414     if (elabda) 252,240,239
415 239 lerror = 0
416     go to 252
417 c
418 c for singular problems must adjust data to insure that a solution
419 c will exist.
420 c
421 240 if ((nbdend.eq.0 .or. nbdend.eq.3) .and.
422     1 (abdcnd.eq.0 .or. abdcnd.eq.3)) ,252
423     aspl = astart+1
424     astal = astop-1
425 c
426     s1 = 0.
427     ht1=0
428     do 247 j=nsstart,nstop
429 c
430 c sum up the interior points
431     s = 0.
432     ht=0
433     do 242 i=aspl,astal
434     h=x(i+1)-x(i-1)
435     s = s+f(i,j)*h
436     ht=ht+h
437 242 continue
438 c
439 c add the points at xmin and xmax
440 c
441 c abdcnd=0
442     h1=x(2)-x(1)+x(a+1)-x(a)
443     h2=x(a+1)-x(a-1)
444     if (abdcnd.eq.0) 243,
445 c
446 c abdcnd=3
447     h1=x(2)-x(1)
448     h2=x(a+1)-x(a)
449 243 s=s+f(astart,j)*h1+f(astop,j)*h2
450     ht=ht+h1+h2
451 c
452 c
453 c check for values along the y boundary
454     if (j.gt.nsstart) 244,
455     h=y(2)-y(1)
456     if (nbdend.eq.0) h=h+y(n+1)-y(n)
457     goto 246
458 c
459 244 if (j.lt.nstop) 245,
460     h=y(n+1)-y(n)
461     if (nbdend.eq.0) h=y(n+1)-y(n-1)

```

```

462      goto 246
463 c
464 245 h=y(j+1)-y(j-1)
465 246 sl=s1+s*h
466      htl=htl+ht*h
467 247 continue
468      pertrb=s1/htl
469      do 250 j=nsstart,nstop
470      do 249 i=astart,astop
471          f(i,j) = f(i,j)-pertrb
472 249 continue
473 250 continue
474 c
475 c initialize u if required
476 252 if (intl.eq.1) 253,
477 c
478      call biktri (0,
479      x          npp,nunk,u(cni+nsstart-1),u(bni+nsstart-1),u(cni+nsstart-1),
480      x          spp,sunk,u(cni+astart-1),u(bni+astart-1),u(cni+astart-1),
481      x          idiaf,f(astart,nsstart),ierri,u(u1))
482 c
483 c check for an error during the initialization
484      if (ierri.ne.0) 254,
485 c
486 c solve the problem
487 253 call biktri (1,
488      x          npp,nunk,u(cni+nsstart-1),u(bni+nsstart-1),u(cni+nsstart-1),
489      x          spp,sunk,u(cni+astart-1),u(bni+astart-1),u(cni+astart-1),
490      x          idiaf,f(astart,nsstart),ierri,u(u1))
491 c
492 c check for biktri errors
493 254 if (ierri.eq.0) 256,
494      ierror=ierri+7+ierror
495      return
496 256 continue
497 c
498 c fill in identical values when have periodic boundary conditions.
499 c
500      if (nbdend .ne. 0) go to 260
501      do 259 i=astart,astop
502          f(i,np1) = f(i,1)
503 259 continue
504 260 if (abdend .ne. 0) go to 262
505      do 261 j=nsstart,nstop
506          f(np1,j) = f(1,j)
507 261 continue
508      if (nbdend .eq. 0) f(np1,np1) = f(1,np1)
509 262 continue
510      return
511 c      uses subr biktri
512      end
513 c

```


APPENDIX 2

This is a test problem for the POSSOL routine. It puts a nonuniform grid on a rectangular region with derivative boundary conditions at $y=c$ and $y=d$, and fixed boundary conditions at $x=a$ and $x=b$. The correct solution for the region is:

$$U = 0.5 + \sin(2\pi x/(b-a)) * \sin(2\pi y/(d-c))$$

```

1 c *****
2 c *
3 c *  program postst
4 c *
5 c *  w. j. orvis  linl 4/15/85
6 c *
7 c *  this is a test problem for the possols routine
8 c *
9 c *****
10 c    dimension x(100),y(100),f(100,100),u(1000)
11 c    dimension bda(100),bdb(100),bdc(100),bdd(100)
12 c    call dropfile(0)
13 c    call create(2,"posout",2,-1)
14 c    call setclose(2,1,1,0)
15 c    pi=3.141592654
16 c
17 c  m+1 is the arbitrary number of x grid points
18 c  for the best efficiency, set up the problem with m > n
19 c    m=90
20 c
21 c  set fixed boundary conditions at x=a and x=b
22 c    abdcd=1
23 c
24 c  put in a non-linear x-grid
25 c    xlen=20.
26 c    do 100 i=1,m+1
27 c      x(i)=xlen*sin(pi*float(i-1)/(2.*float(m)))
28 100 continue
29 c
30 c  n+1 is the number of y grids, its value is restricted by nbdcd
31 c    n=62
32 c
33 c  set derivative boundary conditions at y=c and y=d
34 c    nbdcd=3
35 c
36 c  put in a non-linear y-grid
37 c    ylen=15.
38 c    do 200 j=1,n+1
39 c      y(j)=ylen*sin(pi*float(j-1)/(2.*float(n)))
40 200 continue
41 c
42 c  some constants
43 c    pix=2.*pi/x(m+1)

```

```

44      piy=2.*pi/y(n+1)
45 c
46 c set lambda=0 for this problem
47      elambda=0
48 c
49 c set idiaf equal to the first dimension of f in the dimension
50 c statement above.
51      idiaf=100
52 c
53 c set f
54      do 300 i=1,n+1
55          do 300 j=1,n+1
56              f(i,j)=-(pix**2+piy**2)*sin(piy*y(j))*sin(pix*x(i))
57 300 continue
58 c
59 c put in the values of the derivatives at y=a and y=b
60      do 320 i=1,n+1
61          bdc(i)=piy*sin(pix*x(i))
62          bdd(i)=bdc(i)
63 320 continue
64 c
65 c put the value of the fixed boundaries at x=a and x=b
66      do 340 j=1,n+1
67          f(1,j)=5.
68          f(n+1,j)=5.
69 340 continue
70 c
71 c solve the problem
72      call possol (0,x,n,abdcnd,bda,bdb,y,n,nbdcnd,bdc,
73          1          bdd,elambda,f,idiaf,partrb,ierror,e)
74 c
75 c ierror should be tested for problems with the solution
76      write (2,500) ierror
77 500 format("ierror after solution = ",i5)
78 c
79 c for singular problems, test partrb to be sure that
80 c it is small compared to f.
81      write (2,510) partrb
82 510 format("partrb = ",e12.5)
83 c
84 c print out the results
85      do 600 i=1,n+1
86          write (2,550) (f(i,j),j=1,n+1)
87 550 format (4e12.5)
88 600 continue
89 c
90 c print out the difference between the solution and the correct value
91      write (2,650)
92 650 format("error values")
93      do 800 i=1,n+1
94          write (2,550) ((f(i,j)-5.-sin(pix*x(i))*sin(piy*y(j))),j=1,n+1)
95 800 continue
96 c
97      call exit(0)
98      end

```

APPENDIX 3

This is the same problem as that solved in Appendix 2, except that the boundary conditions are now mixed along the side $x=a$. The boundary conditions are fixed from grid points 1 through 10 and are derivative from grid points 11 through 63.

```

1 c *****
2 c *
3 c *  program capatx
4 c *
5 c *  w. j. orvis  llnl 4/15/85
6 c *
7 c *  this is a test problem for the possols routine
8 c *  and the capacitance matrix method. the troublesome boundary is
9 c *  at x=a.
10 c *
11 c *****
12 c   dimension x(100),y(100),f(100,100),u(1000),c(20,20),ip(20)
13 c   dimension bda(100),bdb(100),bdc(100),bdd(100),beta(20)
14 c   call dropfile(0)
15 c   call create(2,"capout",2,-1)
16 c   call setclose(2,1,1,0)
17 c   pi=3.141592654
18 c
19 c   m+1 is the arbitrary number of x grid points
20 c   for the best efficiency, set up the problem with m > n
21 c   m=90
22 c
23 c   n+1 is the number of y grids, its value is restricted by nbdend
24 c   n=62
25 c
26 c   put in a non-linear x-grid
27 c   xlen=20.
28 c   do 100 i=1,m+1
29 c     x(i)=xlen*sin(pi*float(i-1)/(2.*float(m)))
30 c 100 continue
31 c
32 c   put in a non-linear y-grid
33 c   ylen=15.
34 c   do 200 j=1,n+1
35 c     y(j)=ylen*sin(pi*float(j-1)/(2.*float(n)))
36 c 200 continue
37 c
38 c   some constants
39 c   pix=2.*pi/x(m+1)
40 c   piy=2.*pi/y(n+1)
41 c
42 c   set lambda=0 for this problem
43 c   elambda=0
44 c
45 c   set idimf equal to the first dimension of f in the dimension
46 c   statement above.
47 c   idimf=100
48 c

```

```

49 c set fixed bc at x=b and derivative at x=a
50     abdcnd=4
51 c
52 c set derivative boundary conditions at y=c and y=d
53     nbdcnd=3
54 c
55 c set all of the derivatives to zero for the calculation of c
56     do 240 j=1,n+1
57         bda(j)=0
58 240 continue
59 c
60     do 220 i=1,m+1
61         bdc(i)=0
62         bdd(i)=0
63 220 continue
64 c
65 c loop over the p points with fixed bc at x=1
66     jpi=1
67     jpf=10
68     do 510 k=jpi,jpf
69 c
70 c zero all but the k th element of f along i=1
71     do 300 i=1,m+1
72     do 300 j=1,n+1
73         f(i,j)=0
74 300 continue
75         f(1,k)=1.
76 c
77 c solve b*g(k) = a(k)
78     call possol (0,x,m,abdcnd,bda,bdb,y,n,nbdcnd,bdc,
79         1 bdd,elabda,f,idiaf,pertrb,ierror,u)
80 c
81 c ierror should be tested for problems with the solution
82     write (2,500) ierror
83 500 format("ierror after solution = ",i5)
84 c
85 c store a column of c
86     do 505 j=jpi,jpf
87         c(j-jpi+1,k)=f(1,j)
88 505 continue
89 510 continue
90 c
91 c lu decompose c
92     call dec(jpf-jpi+1,20,c,lp,ier)
93     write (2,515) ier
94 515 format("ier from dec = ",i5)
95 c
96 c begin the main solution sequence
97 c
98 c create v in f
99     do 520 i=1,m+1
100     do 520 j=1,n+1
101         f(i,j)=-(pix**2+ply**2)*sin(pix*x(i))*sin(ply*y(j))
102 520 continue
103 c
104 c put in the boundary conditions
105 c dont forget the fixed values at x=a
106 c set the derivative values in this area to 0

```

```

107      do 525 j=1,n+1
108      f(a+1,j)=5.
109      bda(j)=pix*sin(pi*y(j))
110 525  continue
111      do 527 j=jpi,jpf
112      bda(j)=0
113 527  f(1,j)=5.
114      do 528 i=1,a+1
115      bdc(i)=piy*sin(pix*x(i))
116      bdd(i)=bdc(i)
117 528  continue
118 c
119 c solve b*utilda = u
120      call possol (0,x,a,abdend,bda,bdb,y,n,nbdend,bdc,
121      1 bdd,elabda,f,ldiaf,partrb,ierror,u)
122      write (2,530) ierror
123 530  format("ierror from possol = ",i5)
124 c
125 c load beta with the rhs v-a*u
126      do 535 k=jpi,jpf
127      beta(k-jpi+1)=5.-f(1,k)
128 535  continue
129 c
130 c solve for beta
131      call sol(jpf-jpi+1,20,c,beta,lp)
132 c
133 c reload f with the modified v+beta*e
134      do 540 i=1,a+1
135      do 540 j=1,n+1
136      f(i,j)=(pix**2+piy**2)*sin(pix*x(i))*sin(pi*y(j))
137 540  continue
138 c
139 c put in the fixed boundary at x=b
140      do 542 j=1,n+1
141      f(a+1,j)=5.
142 542  continue
143 c
144 c put in the fixed boundary at x=a and add the beta value
145      do 543 j=jpi,jpf
146 543  f(1,j)=5.+beta(j-jpi+1)
147 c
148 c solve b*u=v+beta*e to get the final u
149      call possol (0,x,a,abdend,bda,bdb,y,n,nbdend,bdc,
150      1 bdd,elabda,f,ldiaf,partrb,ierror,u)
151      write (2,545) ierror
152 545  format("ierror from possol = ",i5)
153 c
154 c print out the results
155      do 600 i=1,a+1
156      write (2,550) (f(i,j),j=1,n+1)
157 550  format (4e12.5)
158 600  continue
159 c
160 c print out the difference between the solution and the correct value
161      write (2,650)
162 650  format("error values")
163      do 800 i=1,a+1
164      write (2,550) ((f(i,j)-5.*sin(pix*x(i))*sin(pi*y(j))),j=1,n+1)

```

```
165 888 continue
166 c
167     call exit(0)
168 end
```